arXiv:1612.01765v1 [math.SP] 6 Dec 2016

BOUNDS ON THE JOINT AND GENERALIZED SPECTRAL RADIUS OF HADAMARD GEOMETRIC MEAN OF BOUNDED SETS OF POSITIVE KERNEL OPERATORS

ALJOŠA PEPERKO[1,2]

Abstract. Let $\Psi_1, \dots \Psi_m$ be bounded sets of positive kernel operators on a Banach function space L. We prove that for the generalized spectral radius ρ and the joint spectral radius $\hat{\rho}$ the inequalities

$$\rho\left(\Psi_1^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_m^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right) \leq \rho(\Psi_1\Psi_2\cdots\Psi_m)^{\frac{1}{m}},$$

$$\hat{\rho}\left(\Psi_1^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_m^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right) \leq \hat{\rho}(\Psi_1\Psi_2\cdots\Psi_m)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

hold, where $\Psi_1^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_m^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}$ denotes the Hadamard (Schur) geometric mean of the sets $\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_m$.

1. Introduction

In [34], X. Zhan conjectured that, for non-negative $n \times n$ matrices A and B, the spectral radius $\rho(A \circ B)$ of the Hadamard product satisfies

$$\rho(A \circ B) \leq \rho(AB),$$

where AB denotes the usual matrix product of A and B. This conjecture was confirmed by K.M.R. Audenaert in [3] via a trace description of the spectral radius. Soon after, this inequality was reproved, generalized and refined in different ways by several authors ([18], [19], [27], [28], [26], [7], [13]). Applying a fact that the Hadamard product is a principal submatrix of the Kronecker product (i.e., by applying the technique used by R.A. Horn and F. Zhang of [18]), Z. Huang proved that

$$\rho(A_1 \circ A_2 \circ \cdots \circ A_m) \leq \rho(A_1A_2\cdots A_m) \tag{1.1}$$

for $n \times n$ non-negative matrices $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_m$ (see [19]). The author of the current paper extended the inequality (1.1) to non-negative matrices that define bounded operators on Banach sequence spaces in [26]. Moreover, in [26, Theorem 3.16] he generalized this inequality to the setting of the generalized and the joint spectral radius of bounded sets of such non-negative matrices. In the proofs certain results on the Hadamard product from [11] and [25] were used.

Earlier, A.R. Schep was the first one to observe that the results [11] and [25] are applicable in this context (see [27] and [28]). In particular, in [27, Theorem

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A42, 15A60, 47B65, 47B34, 47A10, 15B48.

Key words and phrases. Hadamard-Schur geometric mean; Hadamard-Schur product; joint and generalized spectral radius; positive kernel operators; non-negative matrices; bounded sets of operators.

2.8] he proved that the inequality

$$\rho\left(A^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \circ B^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right) \leq \rho(AB)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1.2}$$

holds for positive kernel operators on L^p spaces. Here $A^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \circ B^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}$ denotes the Hadamard geometric mean of operators A and B. In [13, Theorem 3.1], R. Drnovšek and the author, generalized this inequality and proved that the inequality

$$\rho\left(A_1^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)} \circ A_2^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)} \circ \cdots \circ A_m^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right) \leq \rho(A_1 A_2 \cdots A_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \tag{1.3}$$

holds for positive kernel operators $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ on an arbitrary Banach function space.

The article is partly expository, since it also includes some new proofs of known results. It is organized as follows. In the second section we introduce some definitions and facts, and we recall some results from [11] and [25], which we will need in our proofs. In the third section we give a new proof of a key result from [11] and [25] (Theorem 3.1) and recall what this result actually means in the setting of the generalized and the joint spectral radius (Theorem 3.3). In our main result (Theorem 3.4) we generalize the inequality (1.3) to the setting of the generalized and the joint spectral radius of bounded sets of positive kernel operators on an arbitrary Banach function space.

2. Preliminaries

Let μ be a σ-finite positive measure on a σ-algebra $\mathcal{M}$ of subsets of a non-void set X. Let $M(X,\mu)$ be the vector space of all equivalence classes of (almost everywhere equal) complex measurable functions on X. A Banach space $L \subseteq M(X,\mu)$ is called a *Banach function space* if $f \in L$, $g \in M(X,\mu)$, and $|g| \leq |f|$ imply that $g \in L$ and $\|g\| \leq \|f\|$. Throughout the article, it is assumed that X is the carrier of L, that is, there is no subset Y of X of strictly positive measure with the property that $f = 0$ a.e. on Y for all $f \in L$ (see [33]).

Standard examples of Banach function spaces are Euclidean spaces, the space c_0 of all null convergent sequences (equipped with the usual norms and the counting measure), the well-known spaces $L^p(X,\mu)$ $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ and other less known examples such as Orlicz, Lorentz, Marcinkiewicz and more general rearrangement-invariant spaces (see e.g. [5], [8] and the references cited there), which are important e.g. in interpolation theory. Recall that the cartesian product $L = E \times F$ of Banach function spaces is again a Banach function space, equipped with the norm $\|(f,g)\|_L = \max\{\|f\|_E, \|g\|_F\}$.

By an *operator* on a Banach function space L we always mean a linear operator on L. An operator A on L is said to be *positive* if it maps nonnegative functions to nonnegative ones, i.e., $AL_+ \subset L_+$, where L_+ denotes the positive cone $L_+ = \{f \in L : f \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}\}$. Given operators A and B on L, we write $A \geq B$ if the operator $A - B$ is positive. Recall that a positive operator A is always bounded, i.e., its operator norm

$$\|A\| = \sup\{\|Ax\|_L : x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1\} = \sup\{\|Ax\|_L : x \in L_+, \|x\|_L \leq 1\} \tag{2.1}$$

is finite. Also, its spectral radius $\rho(A)$ is always contained in the spectrum.

An operator A on a Banach function space L is called a *kernel operator* if there exists a $\mu\times\mu$-measurable function $a(x,y)$ on $X\times X$ such that, for all $f\in L$ and for almost all $x\in X$,

$$\int_X |a(x,y)f(y)|\,d\mu(y)<\infty \quad \text{and} \quad (Af)(x)=\int_X a(x,y)f(y)\,d\mu(y).$$

One can check that a kernel operator A is positive iff its kernel a is non-negative almost everywhere. Observe that (finite or infinite) non-negative matrices that define operators on Banach sequence spaces are a special case of positive kernel operators (see e.g. [26], [13], [12] and the references cited there). It is well-known that kernel operators play a very important, often even central, role in a variety of applications from differential and integro-differential equations, problems from physics (in particular from thermodinamics), engineering, statistical and economic models, etc (see e.g. [20], [4], [21], [10] and the references cited there). For the theory of Banach function spaces and more general Banach lattices we refer the reader to the books [33], [5], [1], [2].

Let A and B be positive kernel operators on L with kernels a and b respectively, and $\alpha\geq 0$. The *Hadamard (or Schur) product* $A\circ B$ of A and B is the kernel operator with kernel equal to $a(x,y)b(x,y)$ at point $(x,y)\in X\times X$ which can be defined (in general) only on some order ideal of L. Similarly, the *Hadamard (or Schur) power* $A^{(\alpha)}$ of A is the kernel operator with kernel equal to $(a(x,y))^\alpha$ at point $(x,y)\in X\times X$ which can be defined only on some order ideal of L.

Let $A_1,\dots,A_n$ be positive kernel operators on a Banach function space L, and $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n$ positive numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^n\alpha_j=1$. Then the *Hadamard weighted geometric mean* $A=A_1^{(\alpha_1)}\circ A_2^{(\alpha_2)}\circ\cdots\circ A_n^{(\alpha_n)}$ of the operators $A_1,\dots,A_n$ is a positive kernel operator defined on the whole space L, since $A\leq\alpha_1A_1+\alpha_2A_2+\ldots+\alpha_nA_n$ by the inequality between the weighted arithmetic and geometric means. Let us recall the following result which was proved in [11, Theorem 2.2] and [25, Theorem 5.1].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{A_{ij}\}_{i=1,j=1}^{k,m}$ be positive kernel operators on a Banach function space L. If α_1, α_2,..., α_m are positive numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^m\alpha_j=1$, then the positive kernel operator*

$$A:=\left(A_{11}^{(\alpha_1)}\circ\cdots\circ A_{1m}^{(\alpha_m)}\right)\dots\left(A_{k1}^{(\alpha_1)}\circ\cdots\circ A_{km}^{(\alpha_m)}\right)$$

satisfies the following inequalities

$$A \leq (A_{11}\cdots A_{k1})^{(\alpha_1)}\circ\cdots\circ(A_{1m}\cdots A_{km})^{(\alpha_m)}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\|A\| \leq \|A_{11}\cdots A_{k1}\|^{\alpha_1}\cdots\|A_{1m}\cdots A_{km}\|^{\alpha_m}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\rho(A) \leq \rho(A_{11}\cdots A_{k1})^{\alpha_1}\cdots\rho(A_{1m}\cdots A_{km})^{\alpha_m}. \tag{2.4}$$

The following result is a special case of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $A_1,\dots,A_m$ be positive kernel operators on a Banach function space L, and $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m$ positive numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^m\alpha_j=1$. Then we have*

$$\|A_1^{(\alpha_1)}\circ A_2^{(\alpha_2)}\circ\cdots\circ A_m^{(\alpha_m)}\|\leq\|A_1\|^{\alpha_1}\|A_2\|^{\alpha_2}\cdots\|A_m\|^{\alpha_m} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\rho(A_1^{(\alpha_1)} \circ A_2^{(\alpha_2)} \circ \cdots \circ A_m^{(\alpha_m)}) \leq \rho(A_1)^{\alpha_1}\, \rho(A_2)^{\alpha_2} \cdots \rho(A_m)^{\alpha_m}. \tag{2.6}$$

Recall also that the above results on the spectral radius and operator norm remain valid under less restrictive assumption $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j \geq 1$ in the case of (finite or infinite) non-negative matrices that define operators on sequence spaces ([16], [11], [25], [26], [13]).

Let Σ be a bounded set of bounded operators on L. For $m \geq 1$, let

$$\Sigma^m = \{A_1 A_2 \cdots A_m : A_i \in \Sigma\}.$$

The generalized spectral radius of Σ is defined by

$$\rho(\Sigma) = \limsup_{m\to\infty} [\sup_{A\in\Sigma^m} \rho(A)]^{1/m} \tag{2.7}$$

and is equal to

$$\rho(\Sigma) = \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} [\sup_{A\in\Sigma^m} \rho(A)]^{1/m}.$$

The joint spectral radius of Σ is defined by

$$\hat{\rho}(\Sigma) = \lim_{m\to\infty} [\sup_{A\in\Sigma^m} \|A\|]^{1/m}. \tag{2.8}$$

It is well known that $\rho(\Sigma) = \hat{\rho}(\Sigma)$ for a precompact set Σ of compact operators on L (see e.g. [30], [31], [22]), in particular for a bounded set of complex $n \times n$ matrices (see e.g. [6], [14], [29], [9], [23]). This equality is called the Berger-Wang formula or also the generalized spectral radius theorem (for an elegant proof in the finite dimensional case see [9]). However, in general $\rho(\Sigma)$ and $\hat{\rho}(\Sigma)$ may differ even in the case of a bounded set Σ of compact positive operators on L as the following example from [29] shows. Let $\Sigma = \{A_1, A_2, \ldots\}$ be a bounded set of compact operators on $L = l^2$ defined by $A_k e_k = e_{k+1}, (k \in \mathbb{N})$ and $A_k e_j = 0$ for $j \neq k$. Then $(A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \cdots A_{i_k})^2 = 0$ for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any subset $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\rho(\Sigma) = 0$. Since

$$A_m A_{m-1} \cdots A_1 e_1 = e_{m+1}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$A_m A_{m-1} \cdots A_1 e_j = 0, \quad j \neq 1,$$

we have $\hat{\rho}(\Sigma) \geq \limsup_{m\to\infty} \|A_m \cdots A_1\|^{1/m} = 1$ and so $\rho(\Sigma) \neq \hat{\rho}(\Sigma)$.

In [17], the reader can find an example of two positive non-compact weighted shifts A and B on $L = l^2$ such that $\rho(\{A, B\}) = 0 < \hat{\rho}(\{A, B\})$.

The theory of the generalized and the joint spectral radius has many important applications for instance to discrete and differential inclusions, wavelets, invariant subspace theory (see e.g. [6], [9], [32], [30], [31] and the references cited there). In particular, $\hat{\rho}(\Sigma)$ plays a central role in determining stability in convergence properties of discrete and differential inclusions. In this theory the quantity $\log \hat{\rho}(\Sigma)$ is known as the maximal Lyapunov exponent (see e.g. [32]).

We will use the following well known facts that

$$\rho(\Sigma^m) = \rho(\Sigma)^m, \;\; \hat{\rho}(\Sigma^m) = \hat{\rho}(\Sigma)^m, \;\; \rho(\Psi\Sigma) = \rho(\Sigma\Psi) \;\text{ and }\; \hat{\rho}(\Psi\Sigma) = \hat{\rho}(\Sigma\Psi),$$

where $\Psi\Sigma = \{AB : A \in \Psi, B \in \Sigma\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. Results

First we provide a new proof of the inequality (2.4) (based on its special case (2.6)) by applying the method of proof of the inequality (1.3) from [13, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{A_{ij}\}_{i=1,j=1}^{k,m}$ be positive kernel operators on a Banach function space L and let α_1, α_2,..., α_m be positive numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m}\alpha_j = 1$. Then the inequality (2.4) holds.*

Proof. If $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ are positive kernel operators on L, then the block matrix

$$T = T(A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_3 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & A_{k-1} \\ A_k & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

defines a positive kernel operator on the cartesian product of k copies of L. Since T^k has a block diagonal form

$$T^k = \operatorname{diag}\left(A_1A_2\cdots A_k, A_2A_3\cdots A_kA_1, A_3A_4\cdots A_kA_1A_2, \ldots, A_kA_1A_2\cdots A_{k-1}\right),$$

we have $\rho(T)^k = \rho(T^k) = \rho(A_1A_2\cdots A_k)$.

Now define $T_i := T(A_{1i}, A_{2i}, \ldots, A_{ki})$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Then $\rho(T_i) = \rho(A_{1i}A_{2i}\cdots A_{ki})^{1/k}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Using the inequality (2.6) we obtain that

$$\rho\left(T_1^{(\alpha_1)} \circ T_2^{(\alpha_2)} \circ \cdots \circ T_m^{(\alpha_m)}\right) \leq \rho(T_1)^{\alpha_1}\rho(T_2)^{\alpha_2}\cdots\rho(T_m)^{\alpha_m}$$

$$= \left(\rho\left(A_{11}\cdots A_{k1}\right)^{\alpha_1}\cdots\rho\left(A_{1m}\cdots A_{km}\right)^{\alpha_m}\right)^{1/k},$$

since $\sum_{j=1}^{m}\alpha_j = 1$. On the other hand,

$$T_1^{(\alpha_1)} \circ T_2^{(\alpha_2)} \circ \cdots \circ T_m^{(\alpha_m)} = T(C_1, \ldots, C_k)$$

where

$$C_j = \left(A_{j1}^{(\alpha_1)} \circ \cdots \circ A_{jm}^{(\alpha_m)}\right)$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. It follows that $\rho(T_1^{(\alpha_1)} \circ T_2^{(\alpha_2)} \circ \cdots \circ T_m^{(\alpha_m)}) = \rho(A)^{1/k}$, where

$$A = \left(A_{11}^{(\alpha_1)} \circ \cdots \circ A_{1m}^{(\alpha_m)}\right)\cdots\left(A_{k1}^{(\alpha_1)} \circ \cdots \circ A_{km}^{(\alpha_m)}\right),$$

which proves the inequality (2.4). □

Remark 3.2. In the case of non-negative matrices that define operators on a Banach sequence space (see e.g. [26], [13], [11], [25] for exact definitions), the same proof works for positive numbers α_1, α_2,..., α_m such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m}\alpha_j \geq 1$.

Let $\Psi_1,\dots,\Psi_m$ be bounded sets of positive kernel operators on a Banach function space L and let $\alpha_1,\dots\alpha_m$ be positive numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1$. Then the bounded set of positive kernel operators on L, defined by

$$\Psi_1^{(\alpha_1)} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_m^{(\alpha_m)} = \{A_1^{(\alpha_1)} \circ \cdots \circ A_m^{(\alpha_m)} : A_1 \in \Psi_1,\dots,A_m \in \Psi_m\},$$

is called the *weighted Hadamard (Schur) geometric mean* of sets $\Psi_1,\dots,\Psi_m$. The set $\Psi_1^{(\frac{1}{m})} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_m^{(\frac{1}{m})}$ is called the *Hadamard (Schur) geometric mean* of sets $\Psi_1,\dots,\Psi_m$.

A version of the following result on the generalized and the joint spectral radius was stated in [26, Theorem 3.4] and [25, Corollary 5.3] only in the case of bounded sets of non-negative matrices that define operators on Banach sequence spaces, however the same proof works in our more general setting by applying the inequalities (2.4) and (2.3). The proof is included for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\Psi_1,\dots\Psi_m$ be bounded sets of positive kernel operators on a Banach function space L and let $\alpha_1,\dots\alpha_m$ be positive numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1$. Then we have*

$$\rho(\Psi_1^{(\alpha_1)} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_m^{(\alpha_m)}) \le \rho(\Psi_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \rho(\Psi_m)^{\alpha_m} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\hat{\rho}(\Psi_1^{(\alpha_1)} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_m^{(\alpha_m)}) \le \hat{\rho}(\Psi_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \hat{\rho}(\Psi_m)^{\alpha_m}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Let $A \in (\Psi_1^{(\alpha_1)} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_m^{(\alpha_m)})^l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are $A_{ik} \in \Psi_k$, $i = 1,\dots,l$, $k = 1,\dots,m$ such that

$$A = (A_{11}^{\alpha_1} \circ \cdots \circ A_{1m}^{\alpha_m}) \cdots (A_{l1}^{\alpha_1} \circ \cdots \circ A_{lm}^{\alpha_m}).$$

By Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\rho(A) \le \rho(A_{11}\cdots A_{l1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots \rho(A_{1m}\cdots A_{lm})^{\alpha_m}. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $A_{1k}\cdots A_{lk} \in \Psi_k^l$ for all $k = 1,\dots,m$, (3.3) implies (3.1).

By replacing $\rho(\cdot)$ with $\|\cdot\|$ in the proof above, we obtain the inequality (3.2), which completes the proof.

□

Now we prove our main result, which is a generalization of the inequality (1.3). It can also be considered as a kernel version of [26, Theorem 3.16], which holds for bounded sets of non-negative matrices that define operators on Banach sequence spaces.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\Psi_1,\dots\Psi_m$ be bounded sets of positive kernel operators on a Banach function space L. Then we have*

$$\rho\left(\Psi_1^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_m^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right) \le \rho(\Psi_1\Psi_2\cdots\Psi_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\hat{\rho}\left(\Psi_1^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_m^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right) \le \hat{\rho}(\Psi_1\Psi_2\cdots\Psi_m)^{\frac{1}{m}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. To prove (3.4) we will show that

$$\rho\left(\Psi_1^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ\cdots\circ\Psi_m^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right)^m\le\rho(\Psi_1\Psi_2\cdots\Psi_m).$$

Take $A\in\left(\Psi_1^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ\cdots\circ\Psi_m^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right)^{mk}$. Then $A=A_1A_2\cdots A_k$, where

$$A_i=\left(A_{i\,1\,1}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ A_{i\,1\,2}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ\cdots\circ A_{i\,1\,m}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right)\left(A_{i\,2\,1}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ A_{i\,2\,2}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ\cdots\circ A_{i\,2\,m}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right)\cdots$$

$$\cdots\left(A_{im1}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ A_{im2}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ\cdots\circ A_{imm}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right)$$

for some $A_{i\,j\,1}\in\Psi_1,\dots,A_{i\,j\,m}\in\Psi_m$ and all $j=1,\dots,m$, $i=1,\dots,k$. Then

$$A_i=\left(A_{i\,1\,1}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ A_{i\,1\,2}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ\cdots\circ A_{i\,1\,m}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right)\left(A_{i\,2\,2}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ\cdots\circ A_{i\,2\,m}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ A_{i\,2\,1}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right)\cdots$$

$$\cdots\left(A_{i\,m\,m}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ A_{i\,m\,1}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ\cdots\circ A_{i\,m\,m-1}^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right).$$

By (2.2) we have

$$A=A_1A_2\cdots A_k\le B_1^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ B_2^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ\cdots\circ B_m^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)},$$

where

$$B_1=\prod_{i=1}^{k}A_{i\,1\,1}A_{i\,2\,2}\cdots A_{i\,m\,m}\in(\Psi_1\Psi_2\cdots\Psi_m)^k,$$

$$B_2=\prod_{i=1}^{k}A_{i\,1\,2}A_{i\,2\,3}\cdots A_{i\,m\,1}\in(\Psi_2\Psi_3\cdots\Psi_1)^k,$$

$$\cdots\cdots\cdots$$

$$B_m=\prod_{i=1}^{k}A_{i\,1\,m}A_{i\,2\,1}\cdots A_{i\,m\,m-1}\in(\Psi_m\Psi_1\cdots\Psi_{m-1})^k.$$

By Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\rho(A)\le\rho(B_1)^{\frac{1}{m}}\rho(B_2)^{\frac{1}{m}}\cdots\rho(B_m)^{\frac{1}{m}},$$

which implies

$$\rho\left(\Psi_1^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\circ\cdots\circ\Psi_m^{\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}\right)^m\le(\rho(\Psi_1\Psi_2\cdots\Psi_m)\rho(\Psi_2\Psi_3\cdots\Psi_1)\cdots\rho(\Psi_m\Psi_1\cdots\Psi_{m-1}))^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

$$=\rho(\Psi_1\Psi_2\cdots\Psi_m).$$

This proves (3.4) and the inequality (3.5) is proved similarly. □

The following special case of Theorem 3.4 generalizes (1.2).

Corollary 3.5. *Let Ψ and Σ be bounded sets of positive kernel operators on a Banach function space L. Then we have*

$$\rho\left(\Psi^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \circ \Sigma^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right) \leq \rho(\Psi\Sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\hat{\rho}\left(\Psi^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \circ \Sigma^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right) \leq \hat{\rho}(\Psi\Sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.7}$$

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Professor Franz Lehner for reading the first version of this article.

This work was supported in part by the JESH grant of the Austrian Academy of Sciences and by grant P1-0222 of the Slovenian Research Agency.

References

1. Y.A. Abramovich and C.D. Aliprantis, An invitation to operator theory, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2002.
2. C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, Positive operators, Reprint of the 1985 original, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
3. K.M.R. Audenaert, Spectral radius of Hadamard product versus conventional product for non-negative matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (2010), 366–368.
4. K. Balachandran and J.Y. Park, Existence of solutions and controllability of nonlinear integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces, Math. Problems in Engineering 2 (2003), 65–79.
5. C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, Interpolation of Operators, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, 1988.
6. M.A. Berger and Y. Wang, Bounded semigroups of matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 166 (1992), 21–27.
7. D. Chen and Y. Zhang, On the spectral radius of Hadamard products of nonnegative matrices, Banach J. Math. Anal. 9 (2015), 127–133.
8. G.P. Curbera and W.J. Ricker, Compactness properties of Sobolev imbeddings for rearrangement invariant norms, Transactions AMS 359 (2007), 1471–1484.
9. X. Dai, Extremal and Barabanov semi-norms of a semigroup generated by a bounded family of matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 379 (2011), 827–833.
10. P. Degond, J.-G. Liu and C. Ringhofer, Evolution of the distribution of wealth in an economic environment driven by local Nash equilibria, Journal of Statistical Physics 154 (2014), 751–780.
11. R. Drnovšek and A. Peperko, Inequalities for the Hadamard weighted geometric mean of positive kernel operators on Banach function spaces, Positivity 10 (2006), 613–626.
12. R. Drnovšek and A. Peperko, On the spectral radius of positive operators on Banach sequence spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 433 (2010), 241–247.
13. Drnovšek and A. Peperko, Inequalities on the spectral radius and the operator norm of Hadamard products of positive operators on sequence spaces, to appear in Banach J. Math. Anal. (2016).
14. L. Elsner, The generalized spectral radius theorem: An analytic-geometric proof, Linear Algebra Appl. 220 (1995), 151–159.
15. L. Elsner, D. Hershkowitz and A. Pinkus, Functional inequalities for spectral radii of nonnegative matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 129 (1990), 103–130.
16. L. Elsner, C.R. Johnson and J.A. Dias Da Silva, The Perron root of a weighted geometric mean of nonnegative matrices, Linear Multilinear Algebra 24 (1988), 1–13.
17. P.S. Guinand, On quasinilpotent semigroup of operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 86 (1982), 485–486.

18. R.A. Horn and F. Zhang, Bounds on the spectral radius of a Hadamard product of nonnegative or positive semidefinite matrices, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 20 (2010), 90–94.
19. Z. Huang, On the spectral radius and the spectral norm of Hadamard products of nonnegative matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 434 (2011), 457–462.
20. K. Jörgens, Linear integral operators, Surveys and Reference Works in Mathematics 7, Pitman Press, 1982.
21. J. Lafferty and G. Lebanon, Diffusion kernels on statistical manifolds, Journal of Machine Learning Research 6 (2005), 129–163.
22. I.D. Morris, The generalized Berger-Wang formula and the spectral radius of linear cocycles, J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), 811–824.
23. V. Müller, A. Peperko, Generalized spectral radius and its max algebra version, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013), 1006–1016.
24. A. Peperko, On the max version of the generalized spectral radius theorem, Linear Algebra Appl. 428 (2008), 2312–2318.
25. A. Peperko, Inequalities for the spectral radius of non-negative functions, Positivity 13 (2009), 255–272.
26. A. Peperko, Bounds on the generalized and the joint spectral radius of Hadamard products of bounded sets of positive operators on sequence spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012), 189–201.
27. A.R. Schep, Bounds on the spectral radius of Hadamard products of positive operators on l_p-spaces, Electronic J. Linear Algebra 22, (2011), 443–447.
28. A.R. Schep, Corrigendum for "Bounds on the spectral radius of Hadamard products of positive operators on l_p-spaces", (2011), preprint.
29. M.-H. Shih, J.-W. Wu, C.-T. Pang, Asymptotic stability and generalized Gelfand spectral radius formula, Linear Alg. Appl. 252 (1997), 61–70.
30. V.S. Shulman and Yu.V. Turovskii, Joint spectral radius, operator semigroups and a problem of W.Wojtyński, J. Funct. Anal. 177 (2000), 383–441.
31. V. S. Shulman, Yu. V. Turovskii, Application of topological radicals to calculation of joint spectral radii, (2008), arxiv:0805.0209v1 [math.FA].
32. F. Wirth, The generalized spectral radius and extremal norms, Linear Algebra Appl. 342 (2002), 17–40.
33. A.C. Zaanen, Riesz Spaces II, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
34. X. Zhan, Unsolved matrix problems, Talk given at Advanced Workshop on Trends and Developments in Linear Algebra, ICTP, Trieste, Italy, July 6-10, 2009.

[1] Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ljubljana, Aškerčeva 6, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia;
[2] Institute of Mathematics, Physics, and Mechanics, Jadranska 19, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia.
E-mail address: aljosa.peperko@fmf.uni-lj.si; aljosa.peperko@fs.uni-lj.si